

**Table 3** presents a broad brush picture of who among low-income Medicare beneficiaries are eligible for some level of prescription drug coverage through either Medicaid or state-funded pharmaceutical assistance programs. Eligibility is presented as a percent of the federal poverty level. **Columns 1 and 2** are extracted from **Tables 1 and 2**.

**Table 3. Highest Income Eligibility Standards under Medicaid and State Pharmaceutical Assistance Programs as a Percent of the Federal Poverty Level**

| State                | Medicaid<br>(1) | Pharmacy assistance<br>programs<br>(2) |
|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Alabama              | 82%             | no subsidy program                     |
| Alaska               | 100.5%          | no subsidy program                     |
| Arizona              | 83.6%           | no subsidy program                     |
| Arkansas             | 73.6%           | no subsidy program                     |
| California           | 99.4%           | no subsidy program                     |
| Colorado             | 78.8%           | no subsidy program                     |
| Connecticut          | 114.8%          | 225%                                   |
| Delaware             | 73.6%           | 200%                                   |
| District of Columbia | 100%            | no subsidy program                     |
| Florida              | 90%             | 90%-120%                               |
| Georgia              | 100%            | no subsidy program                     |
| Hawaii               | 100%            | no subsidy program                     |
| Idaho                | 81.2%           | no subsidy program                     |
| Illinois             | 200%            | 240%                                   |
| Indiana              | 73.6%           | 135%                                   |
| Iowa                 | 76.7%           | no subsidy program                     |
| Kansas               | 73.6%           | 135%                                   |
| Kentucky             | 73.6%           | no subsidy program                     |
| Louisiana            | 73.6%           | no subsidy program                     |
| Maine                | 300%            | no subsidy program                     |

| State          | Medicaid<br>(1)  | Pharmacy assistance<br>programs<br>(2)  |
|----------------|--|---|
| Maryland       | 73.6%  | 116% (single)<br>93% (couple)<br>and<br>300%                                      |
| Massachusetts  | 100% for aged;<br>over 133% for<br>disabled and blind                                      | no limit for elderly<br>188% for disabled   |
| Michigan       | 100%   | 200%  |
| Minnesota      | 95%  | 100%-120%   |
| Mississippi    | 100%   | no subsidy program  |
| Missouri       | 73.6% for aged<br>and disabled;<br>129.8% for blind  | no subsidy program  |
| Montana        | 73.6%  | no subsidy program  |
| Nebraska       | 100%   | no subsidy program  |
| Nevada         | 78.8%  | 180% for family   |
| New Hampshire  | 79.5%  | no subsidy program  |
| New Jersey     | 100%   | 222% (single)<br>202% (couple)<br>and<br>335% (single)<br>286% (couple)           |
| New Mexico     | 73.6%  | no subsidy program  |
| New York       | 86.1%  | 225% (single)<br>218% (couple)<br>and<br>225%-395% (single)<br>218%-418% (couple) |
| North Carolina | 100%   | 150%  |
| North Dakota   | 65.4% (could be<br>higher for those<br>counties with<br>State<br>Supplemental<br>Payments) | no subsidy program  |
| Ohio           | 63.8%  | no subsidy program  |
| Oklahoma       | 100%   | no subsidy program  |

| <b>State</b>          | <b>Medicaid<br/>(1)</b>                                   | <b>Pharmacy assistance<br/>programs<br/>(2)</b>                         |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| <b>Oregon</b>         | 100%  | no subsidy program  |
| <b>Pennsylvania</b>   | 100%  | 158% (single)<br>144% (couple)<br>and<br>192% (single)<br>169% (couple) |
| <b>Rhode Island</b>   | 100%  | 185%-409% (single)<br>168%-346% (couple)                                |
| <b>South Carolina</b> | 100%  | 94%-170% (single)<br>92%-167% (couple)                                  |
| <b>South Dakota</b>   | 75.7%   | no subsidy program  |
| <b>Tennessee</b>      | 73.6%<br>Medicare<br>recipients who are<br>denied Medigap | no subsidy program  |
| <b>Texas</b>          | 73.6%   | no subsidy program  |
| <b>Utah</b>           | 100%  | no subsidy program  |
| <b>Vermont</b>        | 175%  | 175%-225%   |
| <b>Virginia</b>       | 80%   | no subsidy program  |
| <b>Washington</b>     | 77.4%   | no subsidy program  |
| <b>West Virginia</b>  | 73.6%   | no subsidy program  |
| <b>Wisconsin</b>      | 85.6%   | no subsidy program  |
| <b>Wyoming</b>        | 75%   | 100%  |